

~~TOP SECRET~~

CONTROL NO.

TCS No 4348/64

12 October 1964

REFERRED TO OFFICE	25X1A9a RECEIVED			RELEASED		25X1A9a SEEN BY	
	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE
D/F	[REDACTED]	14 Oct				[REDACTED]	14 Oct
ST/PS		14 Oct					
SA/RR							

(OVER)

Handle Via Indicated Controls

TALENT-KEYHOLE

.....

.....

.....

.....

# WARNING

This document contains information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws U. S. Code Title 18, Sections 793 and 794. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States. It is to be seen only by personnel especially indoctrinated and authorized to receive information in the designated control channels. Its security must be maintained in accordance with regulations pertaining to TALENT-KEYHOLE Control System.

~~TOP SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and declassification

[illegible]

TCS No. 4348-a/64  
Copy No. 4 of 5

12 October 1964

*Memorandum No: 6354-KH*

MEMORANDUM FOR: [REDACTED]

25X1A9a

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Memorandum

Please pass the attached [REDACTED]

25X1A9a

25X1A9a  
[REDACTED]

Attachment:  
TCS-4348/64

~~SECRET~~  
HANDLE  
TALENT-KE

TCS No. 4348/64  
Copy No. 4 of 1  
Page No. 1 of 2  
14 October 1964

Dear John

25X1C8c

Many thanks for your note and goodies via [REDACTED] That was just what we needed to perk us up for the winter!

I am sorry to hear of your troubles defending production estimates but this is the lot of many of us these days, if I may play on the word. We are having exactly the same sort of problem and it seems to be coming more serious here as we pay more attention to the inventory question than we have in the past. You know -- if they can't beat you down by finding more launchers, the next best thing to suggest is that each launcher must have five missiles. While no one is seriously arguing that number as yet (four, in the case of the SS-3), I do think we have to do better in defending the lower figures. We are trying to approach this in at least two other ways, by analysis of [REDACTED]

25X1B0a

[REDACTED] I cannot predict the success we might have with either of these approaches but I think we have to travel these roads if only to say the answer is not in that direction.

Regarding the proof test approach we have just completed our study for 1963 and you should expect \$ in November. I hope we can be more current in our appraisal of 1964. The other studies we discussed previously -- concerning B&B and the liquid rocket test facilities -- have been slow moving, but do be patient. I am finding my new assignment much more invigorating for we are obliged to make the test range programs, deployment and production information weave a consistent story. This is not always easy but it does help one's powers of imagination!

25X1C8c

I am to be away during the period 12-20 November. I hope I am here to see [REDACTED] but, if not, he should feel free to tap our resources



FOI No. 4343/64

Cov No. 4 of 4

Page No. 2 of 2

25X1A9a

and to give us the benefit of your points of view. Besides, as you know, I never espouse a cause that has not been introduced by one of our stalwart agents such as [REDACTED] the outlander. At least the first three will be here, so how can he miss. If I am here I would also like to introduce Jack to some of the people at [REDACTED] who have helped us so much, and it might then be appropriate to review the latest information on the production facilities which we are currently updating for the [REDACTED] Red Book.

Also hearing from you, John. Please give my regards to Geoff. Sorry you two have lost out on a visit this Fall.

Best ever,

25X1A9a  
[REDACTED]